





1.5 Million Natural Gas Connections Project in 11 Governorates

Site-Specific Environmental and Social Impact Assessment



Egyptian Natural Gas Holding Company

Executive Summary Shalaqan/Qalyubeya Governorate September 2016

Developed by





**EcoConServ Environmental Solutions** 

Petrosafe
Petroleum Safety & Environmental Services
Company



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

## 1 Introduction

The Government of Egypt (GoE) has immediate priorities to increase household use of natural gas (NG) by connecting 1.2 million households/yr to the gas distribution network to replace the highly subsidized, largely imported Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG).

The GoE is implementing an expansion program for Domestic Natural Gas connections to an additional 1.5 Million households over the next 4 years. The project presented in this study is part of a program that involves extending the network and accompanying infrastructure to connect 1.5 million Households in 11 Governorates between 2016 and 2019 with the assistance of a World Bank Loan of up to US\$500 Million and the Agence Française de Développement (French Agency for Development) financing of up to €70 Million. The program is estimated to cost US\$850 Million.

The ESIA objectives are as follows:

- Describing project components and activities of relevance to the environmental and social impacts assessments
- Identifying and addressing relevant national and international legal requirements and guidelines
- Describing baseline environmental and social conditions
- Presenting project alternatives and no project alternative
- Assessing potential site-specific environmental and social impacts of the project
- Developing environmental & social management and monitoring plans in compliance with the relevant environmental laws
- Documenting and addressing environmental and social concerns raised by stakeholders and the Public in consultation events and activities

As the project involves components in various areas within the 11 governorates, the parties to the project agreed that site-specific Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (SSESIAs) for each of the project sub-areas within the governorate will be prepared. Guided by the 2013 Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Framework (ESIAF) and Supplementary Social Impact Assessment Framework (SSIAF), this is the site specific ESIA for the connections network planned for Shalaqan in Qalyubeya Governorate. The project in Shalaqan encompasses 7,000 household connections to be connected over 3 years: 500 in year 1, 4,500 in year 2, and 2,000 in year 3.

The local distribution company responsible for project implementation in Shalaqan is Egypt Gas.





## 2 Project Description

#### 2.1 Background

Natural Gas is processed and injected into the high pressure lines of the national Grid (70 Bar) for transmission. Upon branching from the main lines to regional distribution networks, the pressure of the NG is lowered to 7 Bar at the Pressure Reduction Stations (PRS). An odorant is added to the NG at PRSs feeding distribution networks to residential areas in order to facilitate detection. Regulators are then used to further lower the pressure to 100 mbar in the local networks, before finally lowering the pressure to 20 mbar for domestic use within the households. In addition to excavation and pipe laying, key activities of the construction phase also include installation of pipes on buildings, internal connections in households, and conversion of appliance nozzles to accommodate the switch from LPG to NG.

#### 2.2 Project Work Packages

### 2.2.1 Main feeding line/network "7 bar system – PE 100"

A gas distribution piping system that operates at a pressure higher than the standard service pressure delivered to the customer. In such a system, a service regulator is required to control the pressure delivered to the customer.

Main feeding lines are mainly constructed from polyethylene pipes (HDPE) with maximum operating pressure (MOP) below 7 bar.

## 2.2.2 Distributions network "Regulators, PE80 Networks"

A gas distribution piping system in which the gas pressure in the mains and service lines is substantially the same as that delivered to the customer's Meters. In such a system, a service regulator is not required on the individual service lines.

Distribution networks are mainly constructed from polyethylene pipes (MDPE) with MOP below 100 millibar.

#### 2.2.3 Installations (Steel Pipes)

A gas distribution piping system consist of steel pipes which is connected from individual service line to vertical service pipe in a multistory dwelling which may have laterals connected at appropriate floor levels; in addition to service pipe connected to a riser and supplying gas to a meter and gas appliances on one floor of a building.

Internal Installation consists of a pipe connecting the pressure reducing regulator/district Governor and meter Outlet (MOP 25 millibar) to appliances inside the customer's premises.

#### 2.2.4 Conversions

Conversions involve increasing the diameter of the nozzle of the burner of an appliance to work with natural gas as a fuel gas rather LPG or others.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because natural gas is odorless, odorants facilitate leak detection for inhabitants of residential areas.



## 3 Legislative and Regulatory Framework

## 3.1 Applicable Environmental and Social Legislation in Egypt

- Law 217/1980 for Natural Gas
- Law 4 for Year 1994 for the environmental protection, amended by Law 9/2009 and law 105 for the year 2015. Executive Regulation (ER) No 338 for Year 1995 and the amended regulation No 1741 for Year 2005, amended with ministerial decree No 1095/2011, ministerial decree No 710/2012, ministerial decree No 964/2015, and ministerial decree No 26/2016
- Law 38/1967 for General Cleanliness
- Law 93/1962 for Wastewater
- Law 117/1983 for Protection of Antiquities
- Traffic planning and diversions
  - Traffic Law 66/1973, amended by Law 121/2008 traffic planning
  - Law 140/1956 on the utilization and blockage of public roads
  - Law 84/1968 concerning public roads
- Work environment and operational health and safety
  - Articles 43 45 of Law 4/1994, air quality, noise, heat stress, and worker protection
  - o Law 12/2003 on Labor and Workforce Safety
  - o Book V on Occupational Safety and Health (OSH)
  - o Minister of Labor Decree 48/1967.
  - o Minister of Labor Decree 55/1983.
  - o Minister of Industry Decree 91/1985
  - o Minister of Labor Decree 116/1991.

#### 3.2 World Bank Safeguard Policies

Three policies are triggered for the project as a whole: Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01), Physical Cultural Resources (OP/BP 4.11), and Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12). However, OP/BP 4.12 will not be applicable to **Shalaqan** as no land acquisition or resettlement is anticipated. Particularly, as the network will pass through the main urban roads/streets and side roads without causing any damage to private assets or lands.

In addition to the above mentioned safeguards policies, the Directive and Procedure on Access to Information<sup>2</sup> will be followed by the Project.

# 4 Analysis of Alternatives

#### 4.1 No Project Alternative

This Natural Gas Connections to Households Project is expected to yield many economic and social benefits in terms of providing a more stable energy source, achieving savings in LPG consumption and enhancing safety in utilizing energy.



https://policies.worldbank.org/sites/ppf3/PPFDocuments/Forms/DispPage.aspx?docid=3694



The No-Project alternative is not favored as it simply deprives the Egyptian Public and Government of the social, economic, and environmental advantages.

## 4.2 Energy Alternatives

- Maintain LPG Use: Introduction of piped natural gas to replace LPG will help to remove subsidies and reduce imports. The proposed project would also improve the safety of gas utilization as appliance standards are strictly controlled and only qualified personnel carry out installations and respond to emergencies. In the case of LPG, installations are not carried out by trained personnel resulting in possible unsafe installations and unsafe use of LPG.
- Convert to Electricity: The second alternative is to convert all homes to use electricity for all energy supply applications. Additional power stations would be needed to cope with the additional demand created by utilization of electricity in homes, which most probably would operate also by natural gas. Power losses in transmission and distribution are also significantly higher than their natural gas equivalents which would add to the overall inefficiency.
- Use Renewables: the renewables market does not present feasible, practical, and affordable alternatives to connecting 1.5 million households at this point in time in Egypt. Biogas requires large amounts of agricultural and domestic waste, while solar panels and heaters remain in pilot phase.

Energy alternatives do not provide favorable options to the proposed NG networking

#### 4.3 Installation Costs

The average natural gas connection installation cost is about 5600 EGP and consumers contribute a part of 1700 LE because the connection is heavily subsidized by the Government. This payment can be made either upfront or in installments over a period of time. Installment schemes are available to all community people.

The government of Egypt is negotiating with the project's financing organizations in order to secure additional subsidy to poor and marginalized groups. They also provide facilitation payments strategies through offering various installment schemes. The following are the main types of installments: 138 EGP/Month for 12 months,74 EGP/Month for 24 months, 52 EGP/Month for 36 months, 42 EGP/Month for 48 months, 35 EGP/Month for 60 months, 31 EGP/Month for 72 months and 28 EGP/Month for 84 months

# 5 Environmental and Social Impacts and Mitigations

The environmental and social advantages of switching household fuel from LPG cylinders to natural gas pipelines are diverse. On the residential level, the proposed project will lead to improved safety, reduced physical/social/financial hardships, and secure home fuel supply. On the national level, it promotes the utilization of Egyptian natural resources and reduces the subsidy and import burden. Even on the global level, the project involves cleaner fuel with reduced carbon footprint.

A thorough analysis of environmental and social impacts is important to detail an effective management and monitoring plan which will minimize negative impacts and maximize positives.





The assessment of impacts distinguishes between the construction phase and the operation phase.

#### 5.1 Positive Impacts

### 5.1.1 During the construction phase

## Direct job opportunities to skilled and semi-skilled laborers

- The project is expected to result in the creation of job opportunities, both directly and indirectly. Based on similar projects implemented recently by EGAS and Egypt Gas, the daily average number of workers during the peak time will be about 90 workers in the sites across Shalagan.
- The total number of new short term job opportunities within the project areas is estimated at 90-100 temporary jobs.
- In order to maximize employment opportunities in the local communities it is anticipated that training will be required for currently unskilled workers. On-the-job training will also supplement opportunities for the local workforce for both temporary construction roles and also for long-term operation phase positions, where these are available.

### Create indirect opportunities

As part of the construction stage, a lot of indirect benefits are expected to be sensed in
the targeted areas due to the need for more supporting services to the workers and
contractors who will be working in the various locations. This could include, but will not
be limited to accommodation, food supply, transport, trade, security, manufacturing...
etc.

## 5.1.2 During the operation phase

- As indicated in the Baseline Chapter, women are key players in the current domestic activities related to handling LPG and managing its shortage. Being the party affected most from the shortfalls of the use of LPG, the NG project is expected to be of special and major benefits to women. This includes, but is not limited to, clean and continuous source of fuel that is safe and does not require any physical effort and is very reasonable in terms of consumption cost. Time saving is among the benefits to women. The use of a reliable source of energy will allow women to accomplish the domestic activities in less time and this will potentially open a space for better utilization of the saved time.
- Constantly available and reliable fuel for home use.
- Reduced expenditure on LPG importation and subsidies, as 7 thousand connections will be installed in Shalaqan. Each household consumes 1.4 LPG cylinders monthly and one LPG for water heating. The total LPG that are predicted to be reduced are about 9.8 thousand LPG cylinders per month for cooking and water heating purposes. The subsidy value is about 70 EGP per each LPG cylinder. Consequently, the total subsidy to be





saved monthly will be about 686 thousand EGP. This will result in total annual savings of 8.232 million EGP. Additionally, significant savings in electricity will result due to replacing the electric water heater by NG heater.

- Significantly lower leakage and fire risk compared to LPG.
- Improved safety due to low pressure (20 mBar) compared to LPG cylinders.
- Beneficiaries to benefit from good customer service and emergency response by qualified personnel/technicians.
- Eliminate the hardships that special groups like the physically challenged, women, and the elderly had to face in handling LPG.
- Limiting possible child labor in LPG cylinder distribution

## 5.2 Anticipated Negative Impacts

## 5.2.1 Impact assessment methodology

To assess the impacts of the project activities on environmental and social receptors, a semiquantitative approach based on the Leopold Impact Assessment Methodology with Buroz Relevant Integrated Criteria was adopted.

The table below presents the classification of impact ratings and respective importance of impact values.

| Importance of Impact | Impact rating   |  |
|----------------------|---|--|
| 0-25                 | None or irrelevant (no impact);                                 |  |
| 26-50                | Minor severity (minimal impact; restricted to the work site and |  |
|                      | immediate surroundings)   |  |
| 51-75                | Medium severity (larger scale impacts: local or regional;       |  |
|                      | appropriate mitigation measures readily available);             |  |
| 76-300               | Major severity (Severe/long-term local/regional/global          |  |
|                      | impacts; for negative impacts mitigation significant).          |  |

The following tables summarize the impacts and the corresponding mitigation measures within the management plan, in addition the monitoring plan proposed for implementation.





## 5.3 Environmental and Social Management Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

Table 1: Environmental and Social Management Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

| D                      | T  | No.   | Respo                          | nsibility                                   | Manua Caman islan   | Estimated and  |
|------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Receptor               | Impact   | Mitigation measures   | Mitigation                     | Supervision                                 | Means of supervision  | Estimated cost   |
|                        |  | Excavation during off-<br>peak periods  Time limited excavation<br>permits granted by local<br>unit & traffic<br>department | Excavation contractors         | _ LDC +<br>_ Traffic<br>department          | Contractor has valid conditional permit + Field supervision                                 |  |
| Local traffic and      | Traffic congestion (and associated             | Announcements + Signage indicating location/duration of works prior to commencement of work                                 | _ LDC _ Excavation contractors | _ LDC HSE _ Local Unit _ Traffic department | Ensure inclusion in contract + Field supervision  | Contractor costs  LDC management costs   |
| accessibility          | noise/air emissions)                           | Apply Horizontal Directional Drilling under critical intersections whenever possible to avoid heavy traffic delays          | Contractor                     | LDC HSE                                     | Field supervision   |  |
|                        |  | Traffic detours and diversion   | Traffic<br>Department          | Traffic Department                          | Field supervision for detouring efficiency Complaints received from traffic department      | Additional budget not required   |
|                        |  | Road restructuring and closing of lanes   |                                |   | Fluidity of traffic flow  |  |
|                        | Increased                                      | Controlled wetting and compaction of excavation/backfilling surrounding area  |                                |   | Contractual clauses + Field<br>supervision  |  |
| Ambient air<br>quality | emissions of dust<br>and gaseous<br>pollutants | Isolation, covering,<br>transportation in<br>equipped vehicles and<br>disposal of stockpiles                                | Excavation<br>Contractor       | LDC HSE                                     | Contractual clauses + Field<br>supervision  | <ul> <li>Contractor costs</li> <li>LDC</li> <li>management</li> <li>costs</li> </ul> |
|                        |  | Compliance to legal<br>limits of air emissions<br>from all relevant<br>equipment  |                                |   | Measure and document emissions of machinery by regular audits request emission measurements |  |



| D   | T   | Military  | Respo                    | nsibility                    | Marine Communication  | Estimated and  |
|---|---|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|
| Receptor  | Impact  | Mitigation measures   | Mitigation               | Supervision                  | Means of supervision  | Estimated cost   |
|   |   | - Availability of 24-7 hotline service (129) to all beneficiaries and the public for reporting possible leaks, damages or emergencies - Quick response to gas leaks by evacuation of the affected area - Repair or replacement of failed component  | LDC                      | LDC HSE                      | Field Supervision   |  |
| _ Ambient noise levels                          | Increased noise   | Ear muffs, ear plugs,<br>certified noise PPE<br>for workers   | LDC                      |                              | Contractual clauses + Field supervision (audits)  | _ Contractor costs   |
| Local community Workers                         | levels beyond WB/National permissible levels  | Avoid noisy works at<br>night whenever<br>possible  | Excavation Contractor    | LDC HSE                      | Field supervision<br>Complaints receipt from local<br>administration  | _ LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
| _ Ground utilities' integrity _ Local community | Damage to underground utilities resulting in water/wastewater leaks, telecommunicatio n and electricity interruptions | Coordination with departments of potable water, wastewater, electricity, and telecom authorities to obtain maps/ data on underground utilities, whenever available  If maps/data are unavailable: Perform limited trial pits or boreholes to explore and identify underground utility lines using non-intrusive equipment | Excavation<br>Contractor | LDC HSE  LDC HSE  Supervisor | Official coordination proceedings signed by representatives of utility authorities  _ Examination of site-specific reports and records _ Field supervision  _ Contractual clauses + Field supervision | <ul> <li>Contractor         management         costs         LDC management         costs</li> </ul> |





| Receptor  | Impact                       | Mitigation magazines   |                                     | onsibility                                  | Means of supervision  | Estimated cost   |
|---|------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| Receptor  | Impact                       | Mitigation measures  | Mitigation                          | Supervision                                 | Means of supervision  | Estimated cost   |
|   |                              | Preparation and analysis of accidental damage reports  Repair and rehabilitation of damaged components   |                                     | LDC HSE  Local Government Unit Local Police | <ul> <li>Review periodic HSE reports</li> <li>Contractual clauses + Field supervision</li> </ul>        |  |
| _ Streets     (physical status)     local community and workers     (health and safety) | Hazardous waste accumulation | - Temporary storage in areas with impervious floor - Safe handling using PPE and safety precautions - Transfer to LDC depots for temporary storage - Disposal at licensed Alexandria hazardous waste facilities (Nasreya or UNICO) - Hand-over selected oils and lubricants and their containers to Petrotrade for recycling | _ LDC<br>_ Excavation<br>Contractor | LDC HSE                                     | Field supervision and review of certified waste handling, transportation, and disposal chain of custody | Indicative cost items included in contractor bid: Chemical analysis of hazardous waste Trucks from licensed handler Pre-treatment (if needed) Disposal cost at Nasreya Approximate cost of the above (to be revised upon project execution): 8,000-10,000 LE per ton |
|   |                              | <ul> <li>Adequate         <ul> <li>management of</li> <li>asbestos and any</li> <li>possible hazardous</li> <li>waste</li> </ul> </li> </ul>   | Water Authority<br>+ contractor     |   | Field supervision + review of<br>Water Authority manifests  | _ Contractor costs _ LDC management costs  |





| Receptor | Impact | Mitigation measures                       |              | nsibility   | Means of supervision | Estimated cost  |
|----------|--------|---|--------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Receptor | Impact |   | Mitigation   | Supervision |                      | Listimated Cost |
|          |        | _ Minimize fueling,                       |              |             | Field supervision    |                 |
|          |        | lubricating and any                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | activity onsite that                      |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | would entail                              |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | production of                             |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | hazardous materials                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | empty containers                          |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | Pre-Plan the                              |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | anticipated amounts                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | of hazardous liquid<br>materials (such as |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | paint, oils,                              |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | lubricants, fuel) to                      |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | be used in the                            |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | various activities in                     |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | order to minimize                         |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | leftovers and                             |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | residuals.                                |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | _ To the extent                           | LDC          |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | practical, seek to                        | Excavation   |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | combine leftovers                         | - Contractor |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | or residuals of the                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | same liquid<br>material/waste in          |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | material/waste in order to minimize       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | the number of                             |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | containers                                |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | containing                                |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | hazardous residuals                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | Ensure hazardous                          |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | liquid                                    |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | material/waste                            |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | containers are                            |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | always sealed                             |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | properly and                              |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | secured from                              |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | tipping/falling/dam                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | age/direct sunlight                       |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | during                                    |              |             |                      |                 |
|          |        | transportation and                        | 1            |             |                      | 1               |





| Dogontos             | Immont                                 | Mitigation mag-  |                                     | nsibility   | Magna of aumominian   | Estimated cost                            |
|----------------------|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|
| Receptor             | Impact                                 | Mitigation measures  | Mitigation                          | Supervision | Means of supervision  | L'stimateu cost                           |
|                      |  | storage In case of spillage: avoid inhalation and sources of ignition cover and mix with sufficient amounts of sand using PPE collect contaminated sand in clearly marked secure containers/bags Add sand to inventory of  |                                     |             |   |   |
| _ Local<br>community | Non-hazardous<br>waste<br>accumulation | hazardous waste  1. Designate adequate areas on-site for temporary storage of backfill and non-hazardous waste  2. Segregate waste streams to the extent possible to facilitate re-use/recycling, if applicable  3. Reuse non-hazardous waste to the extent possible  4. Estimate size of fleet required to transport wastes.  5. Transfer waste to disposal facility East of the project area | _ LDC<br>_ Excavation<br>Contractor | LDC HSE     | <ul> <li>Contractual clauses</li> <li>Monitoring of waste management plan</li> <li>Field supervision</li> </ul> | _ Contractor costs _ LDC management costs |





| Pecentor                             | Impact                              | Mitigation measures   |                                   | nsibility               | Means of supervision | Estimated cost  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---|
| Receptor                             | Impact                              | Minigation measures   | Mitigation                        | Supervision             | Means of supervision | Estimated cost  |
| Local community                      | Destruction of streets and pavement | - Arrange Restoration and re- pavement (لأصله with local unit Communication with local community on excavation and restoration schedules.   | _ LDC in cooperation with the LGU | EGAS                    | Field supervision    | Included in repavement budget agreed by LDC with local units or Roads and Bridges Directorate |
| Occupational<br>health and<br>safety | Health and safety                   | 1. Full compliance to EGAS and LDC HSE requirements, manuals, and actions as per detailed manuals developed by Egypt Gas  2. Ensure the provision of the appropriate personal protective Equipment and other equipment needed to ensure compliance to HSE manuals | Excavation<br>Contractor          | LDC HSE and<br>EGAS SDO | Field supervision    | _ Contractor costs<br>_ LDC management<br>costs   |





Executive Summary- Site-specific ESIA - NG Connection 1.5 Million HHs- Qalyubeya Governorate/ Shalaqan - September 2016

| Pacantor                               | Impact  | Mitigation measures  | Respo   | nsibility           | Means of supervision   | Estimated cost  |
|--|---|--|---|---------------------|--|---|
| Receptor                               | Impact  | Witigation measures  | Mitigation                                      | Supervision         | Means of supervision   | Estimated cost  |
| Local<br>communities and<br>businesses | Lack of accessibility<br>to businesses due to<br>delay in street<br>rehabilitation          | Compliance with the Environmental management plan concerning timely implementation of the construction schedule to minimize impact on local business  • Follow up the procedure of Grievance Redress Mechanism  • Ensure transparent information sharing | During digging process LDC The sub- contractors | LDC and EGAS<br>SDO | Ensure the implementation of GRM Supervision on Contractors performance                                | No cost   |
| Local community<br>Health and safety   | Threat to Safety of users and houses (due to limited level of awareness and misconceptions) | Prepare Citizen engagement and stakeholder plan Awareness raising campaigns should be tailored in cooperation with the community-based organizations   | During the construction LDC                     | LDC and EGAS<br>SDO | List of awareness activities applied Lists of participants Documentation with photos Awareness reports | <ul> <li>2250 \$ per awareness raising campaign</li> <li>2250 \$ for brochure and leaflets to be distributed (material available by EGAS-\$ spent)</li> </ul> |





## 5.4 Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

Table 2: Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

| Receptor                              | Impact   | Monitoring indicators  | Responsibility of monitoring | Frequency of monitoring   | Location of monitoring                              | Methods of monitoring  | Estimated Cost of monitoring |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------------|
| Local traffic<br>and<br>accessibility | Reduction of traffic<br>flow and accessibility<br>to local community | Comments and<br>notifications from Traffic<br>Department                                 | LDC HSE                      | Monthly during construction.  | Construction site                                   | Documentation in<br>HSE monthly<br>reports Complaints<br>log                         | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
| Ambient air<br>quality                | Increased air emissions  | HC, CO% and opacity  | LDC HSE                      | Once before<br>construction +<br>once every six<br>months for each<br>vehicle   | Vehicles<br>licensing<br>Department                 | Measurements and reporting of exhaust emissions of construction activities machinery | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
| Ambient noise<br>levels               | Increased noise levels   | Noise intensity, exposure<br>durations and noise<br>impacts                              | LDC HSE                      | Regularly during site inspections and once during the night in every residential area or near sensitive receptors such as hospitals | Construction site                                   | Complaints log  Measurements of noise levels  Complaints log                         | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
|                                       |  | Complaints from residents  | LDC HSE                      | Monthly during construction.  | Construction site                                   | Documentation in<br>HSE monthly<br>reports   | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
| Underground<br>utilities              | Damages to underground utilities and infrastructure                  | Official coordination<br>reports with relevant<br>authorities<br>Accidents documentation | LDC HSE                      | Monthly during construction.  | Construction site                                   | Documentation in<br>HSE monthly<br>reports   | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
| Physical state<br>of street           | Waste generation   | Observation of accumulated waste piles   | LDC HSE                      | During construction. Monthly reports  | Construction site                                   | Observation and documentation  | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
|                                       |  | Observation of water<br>accumulations resulting<br>from dewatering (if<br>encountered)   | LDC HSE                      | During construction.  Monthly reports   | Around<br>construction<br>site                      | Observation and documentation  | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |
|                                       |  | Chain-of-custody and implementation of waste management plans                            | LDC HSE                      | Zonal reports   | Construction<br>site and<br>document<br>examination | Site inspection and document inspection  | LDC<br>management<br>costs   |





| Receptor           | Impact  | Monitoring indicators   | Responsibility of monitoring | Frequency of monitoring                      | Location of monitoring | Methods of monitoring                | Estimated Cost of monitoring |
|--------------------|---|---|------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Local<br>community | Damaging to the streets   | Streets quality after     finishing digging     Number of complaints     due to street damage               | LDC, EGAS                    | Four times per<br>year, each three<br>months | Site and Desk<br>work  | Checklists<br>and complaints log     | No cost                      |
| Local<br>community | Threat to Safety of<br>users and houses (due<br>to limited level of<br>awareness and<br>misconceptions) | Number of awareness     raising implemented     Number of participants     in information     dissemination | LDC, EGAS                    | Quarterly<br>monitoring                      | Office                 | Reports Photos Lists of participants | No cost                      |





## 5.5 Environmental and Social Management Matrix during OPERATION

Table 3: Environmental and Social Management Matrix during OPERATION

| Dagontor  | Impact   | Mitigation measures   | Responsibility   |             | Means of   | Estimated Cost             |
|---|--|---|--|-------------|--|----------------------------|
| Receptor  | Impact   | wingation measures  | Mitigation   | Supervision | supervision  | Estimated Cost             |
| - Ambient air quality - Community health and safety                       | Network<br>integrity   | Detailed review of the geotechnical and geological history of the project area  Development of a full emergency response plan  Random inspections and awareness campaigns to ensure that NG piping and components (both inside the household and outside) are not be altered, violated, or intruded upon in any way without written approval from, or implementation of the alteration by, the LDC.  Availability of 24-7 hotline service (129) to all beneficiaries and the public for reporting possible leaks, damages or emergencies  Quick response to gas leaks by evacuation of the affected area  Repair or replacement of failed component | LDC  | LDC HSE.    | <ul> <li>Map and local geotechnical report review</li> <li>Site inspections</li> <li>Awareness actions</li> <li>Periodical trainings and drills</li> </ul> | LDC<br>management<br>costs |
| <ul><li>Ambient air quality</li><li>Community health and safety</li></ul> | Repairs and<br>maintenance<br>(network and<br>households)                          | As with construction phase activities   | _ LDC<br>_ Excavation<br>Contractor  | LDC HSE     | As relevant from construction phase  | LDC<br>management<br>costs |
| Economically<br>disadvantaged<br>Community<br>members                     | Financial burden<br>on economically<br>disadvantaged<br>due to the<br>installments | <ul> <li>Petro Trade should collect the installment immediately after the installation of NG</li> <li>The installments should be collected on monthly basis in order not to add burden to the poor, as it will be easier for them to pay on monthly basis</li> <li>The installment should not be high</li> </ul>  | Petro trade<br>(Company<br>responsible for<br>collecting the<br>consumption fees<br>and the installments | EGAS        | Banks loans log<br>Complaints raised<br>by poor people due<br>to the frequency of<br>collecting the<br>installments  | No cost                    |



| Eco Con Ser V |
|---------------|
|---------------|

| Dogontos                       | Impact                                     | Mitigation measures   | Responsibility |             | Means of   | Estimated Cost |
|--------------------------------|--|---|----------------|-------------|--|----------------|
| Receptor                       |  |   | Mitigation     | Supervision | supervision  | Estimated Cost |
| Informal<br>LPG distributors   | Loss of revenue<br>for LPG<br>distributors | <ul> <li>LPG distributors should be informed about the NG potential areas in order to enable them to find alternative areas</li> <li>They should be informed about the GRM in order to enable them to voice any hardship</li> </ul> | Butagasco      | EGAS        | Information<br>sharing activities<br>with the LPG<br>vendors<br>Grievances<br>received from them | No cost        |
| Community<br>health and safety | Possibility of<br>Gas leakage              | <ul> <li>Information should be provided to people in order to be fully aware about safety procedures</li> <li>The hotline should be operating appropriately</li> <li>People should be informed of the Emergency Numbers</li> </ul>  | LDC            | LDC         | Complaints raised<br>due to Gas leakage  | No cost        |





# 5.6 Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during OPERATION

Table 4: Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during OPERATION

| Impact   | Monitoring indicators   | Responsibility of monitoring | Monitoring<br>Frequency  | Location of monitoring                              | Methods of monitoring   | Monitoring Estimated Cost  |
|--|---|------------------------------|--|---|---|----------------------------|
| Network<br>integrity   | <ul> <li>Earthquakes or geotechnical settlements</li> <li>Emergency response time and corrective actions during emergency drills</li> <li>Reports of alteration or tampering with ANY gas components</li> </ul> | LDC HSE                      | Bi-annual<br>inspections<br>and annual<br>emergency<br>response drills | Along the network and inside and outside households | - Inspection,<br>leakage detection,<br>running the drills                         | LDC<br>management<br>costs |
| Financial burden<br>on economically<br>disadvantaged<br>due to the<br>installments | <ul> <li>Number of economically disadvantaged people who complained</li> <li>Number of those who can't pay the installment</li> </ul>   | LDC and Petro<br>Trade, EGAS | Quarterly  | Desk work   | <ul><li>Complaints log</li><li>Bank reports</li><li>Petro trade reports</li></ul> | No cost                    |
| Impact on the informal LPG distributors  | <ul> <li>Grievance received from the informal<br/>LPG distributors</li> <li>Information shared with them</li> </ul>   | EGAS, LDC                    | Quarterly  | Desk work   | - Complaints log  | No cost                    |
| Possibility of Gas<br>leakage  | <ul><li>Complaints raised by the community people</li><li>Number of leakage accidents reported/raised</li></ul>   | LDC, EGAS                    | Four times per year, each three months                                 | Site and Desk work                                  | Complaints log<br>LDC   | No cost                    |





## 6 Stakeholder Engagement and Public Consultation

The public consultation chapter aims to highlight the key consultation and community engagement activities that took place as part of the preparation of the ESIAs and their outcomes. Following are the main groups consulted during the SSESIA and the engagement tools used.

Table 5: Summary of Consultation Activities in Shalaqan

| Participants   | Number |        | Methods                  | Date                                    |  |
|--|--------|--------|--------------------------|---|--|
| During the site specific study   | Male   | Female |                          |   |  |
| Government officials   | 3      | 1      | In-depth                 |   |  |
| Health centers   | 1      |        |                          | -                                       |  |
| NGOs   | 1      |        | In-depth                 | January                                 |  |
| Community people   | 7      | 7      | FGD                      |   |  |
| Community people   | 47     | 54     | Structured questionnaire | 2016                                    |  |
| LPG vendors  | 1      |        |                          |   |  |
| Public hearing for the ESIA of the governorate level. Potential beneficiaries, government officials, NGO representatives, (8 people have attended from Shalaqan) | 64     | 19     | Public consultation      | 10 <sup>th</sup> of<br>February<br>2016 |  |
| Total  | 124    | 81     | <u>'</u>                 | l.                                      |  |

### 6.1 Main results of Consultation during the Data Collection Phase

The majority of sample surveyed expressed their willingness to be connected to the NG regardless of the amount of money they can afford to pay. This trend is attributed to the fluctuation of the LPG prices.

Following are the main issues raised during data collection and scoping phase.

Table 6: Sample of the main issues raised during data collection and scoping phase in Shalagan

| Silalaqali        |   |                                |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Subject           | Questions and comments                    | Responses                      |
| LPG problems      | Members of the community recommend        | The government of Egypt has an |
| and the necessity | to install NG as the LPG is really a huge | ambitious plan to connect the  |
| to install the NG | problem to all the community:             | NG to 2.4 million households.  |
|                   | 1-During winter time the LPG              | This will solve LPG problems   |
|                   | numbers decrease and it is very           |                                |
|                   | difficult to find them                    |                                |
|                   | 2-The LPG is not completely full. It      |                                |
|                   | is half filled                            |                                |
|                   | 3- It is also not safe it is explosive    |                                |
|                   | 4-Sometimes the LPG cylinder leaks        |                                |





| Subject           | Questions and comments  | Responses                               |
|-------------------|---|---|
| Benefits of the   | The NG will not save money, time and                                      |   |
| NG                | effort but it will also save electricity that                             |   |
|                   | is used in electricity heater.  |   |
| Poor people who   | The installation cost is 1700 EGP. This                                   | NG connection costs 5600 EGP            |
| can't afford      | is relatively high especially for poor                                    | till now. The client pays only          |
| paying the        | people. It is proposed to provide them                                    | 1700 EGP. The state subsidizes          |
| installation cost | with installment techniques.  | the remaining amount.                   |
|                   | It is also recommended to provide them                                    |   |
|                   | with subsidy  |   |
| Community         | It is strongly recommended to engage                                      | Egypt Gas always rely on full           |
| leader            | community leaders in order to facilitate                                  | community engagement                    |
| engagement        | the installation. They can support poor                                   |   |
|                   | households in the area.   |   |
|                   | As well as, the charitable NGOs might                                     |   |
|                   | play a role in supporting poor people                                     |   |
|                   | either by grants or by no interest loans                                  |   |
| Information       | Egypt Gas can share information about                                     | During the P&A survey the               |
| sharing           | the project with the community leaders                                    | installation teams inform the           |
|                   | then they will inform their families.                                     | communities about the NG and            |
|                   | If Egypt Gas managed to convince the                                      | put a flyer on the entrance of the      |
|                   | leaders to install the NG there will be                                   | buildings. However, we will be          |
|                   | huge numbers of installation  | pleased to maintain good                |
|                   |   | relations with the community            |
| NGOs role         | TI NCO CC 1, 11   | leaders                                 |
| NGOs role         | The NGO offered to provide support to the project through working as      |   |
|                   | the project through working as information office to the community.       |   |
| Gas heater        | -   |   |
| problems          | The gas heater run by the LPG has many problems. It causes suffocation to |   |
| problems          | children. This is widely spread in  |   |
|                   | Shalaqan. They pay a lot for oxygen                                       |   |
|                   | device and inhalation system  |   |
| Street            | The main concern related to the NG  | There is a plan and an agreement        |
| rehabilitation    | installation is the rehabilitation of streets.                            | with the local governmental unit        |
|                   | instantation is the remaintation of streets.                              | to rehabilitate streets                 |
| Traffic impacts   | The excavation will cause traffic problem                                 | 3.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2 |
|                   | as the area is dense.   |   |
| Economic          | The project will increase household                                       |   |
| impacts           | income through saving their money as                                      |   |
|                   | the NG is half price of the LPG   |   |
| Infrastructure    | The project might cause damage to water                                   | The LDC coordinates with                |
| impacts           | and wastewater public utilities   | various utilities in order to           |
| r                 | r2 3322333  | maintain any damage quickly             |
|                   | 1   |   |

On the 10<sup>th</sup> of February 2016 a public consultation was conducted in Banha City to which all areas relevant for the project in Qalyubeya Governorate were invited. Governmental entities, environmental sector related units, NGOs and some members of the community attended the consultation event from Shalaqan. The results and documentation of the public consultation event can be found in the El Khosous City SSESIA.





## 6.2 Summary of Consultation Outcomes

The main results of consultation activities are that the project is widely favored by governmental and public groups. There is no reluctance foreseen to have the NG installed in the areas particularly due to the hardship they face to obtain LPG cylinders. Water heaters operated by the LPG cylinder causes respiratory problems to children in Shalaqan. Therefore, the residents are much in favor to install the NG. Poor people expressed their willingness to install the NG with low cost. However, they were not reluctant to install the NG as they already pay a lot for the LPG cylinder.

Site specific consultation activities, as mentioned in details above, including a wide range of concerned stakeholders. This included but was not limited to, persons/households affected by the project activities, civil society organizations representing the interest of the community, or regulatory and governmental bodies who will play a role in facilitating or regulating the implementation of site-specific project activities.

While WB safeguards and regulations state that a minimum of two large-scale, well-publicized public consultation sessions are a must for projects classified as category 'A' projects like the one at hand<sup>3</sup>, additional consultation activities (for example through focus group discussions, in-depth meetings, and interviews) were implemented to reach the most vulnerable and difficult to reach community members. Additionally, in order to obtain larger scale and more quantifiable information, the consultant has conducted surveys in the different sites.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Clause 14 of OP 4.01 states that: "For Category A projects, the borrower consults these groups at least twice: (a) shortly after environmental screening and before the terms of reference for the EA are finalized; and (b) once a draft EA report is prepared. In addition, the borrower consults with such groups throughout project implementation as necessary to address EA-related issues that affect them."

