

The impact of each activity on each receptor was assessed according to magnitude on a scale of -10 to 10, where negative values indicate a negative influence on the receptor, and importance on a scale of 0 to 10, which encompasses the probability of occurrence, frequency of the impact etc. The numbering system is used as a relative measure, where more negative numbers correspond to impacts having a higher negative magnitude. Susceptible receptors and corresponding activity are deduced and addressed if both magnitude and importance are of minor severity. As per the following table:

| | | | | | | | | Project | Phase | 5 | | | | | A | ssessn | ent | |
|-------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|---|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|------------------|---------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | | | | | | Consti | uction | | | | | Oper | ation | | Constr | uction | Opera | ation |
| Receptor Category | Component | Activities | Transport of equipment/ machinery- truck driving | Temporary storage/unloading of equipment and ma terials | Site preparation: Area delineation & Fencing | Working in outdoor environment | Excavation | buildings construction | placement wiring and electrical connections | Waste Generation | PRS operation | odorent unit (replacing or refulling tanks) | Waste Generation | Routine inspection and maintenance | Magnitude | Importance | Magnitude | Importance |
| | | | MI | MI | <u>м</u> I -3 | MI | <u>м</u> I -2 | <u>м</u> і -2 | мі | MI | MI | MI | MI | MI | | 70 (70) | | |
| | Soil | Soil Degradation Soil Pollution | | -2 1 | 2 | | 2 | | -1 | -5 5 | -5 5 | -5 5 | -5 5 | | -7 -8 | 6 7 | 0 -15 | 0 15 |
| AL | | Landscape | | -2 2 | -3 5 | | -2 5 | -2 5 | | | | | | | -9 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| PHYSICAL | | Emission of Gases | -2 2 | | -2 2 | | -3 2 | -2 2 | -4 4 | | -2 3 | -2 3 | -2 3 | -1 1 | -13 | 12 | -7 | 10 |
| H | Air | Emission of dust | -4 3 | | -4 3 | | -6 6 | -3 4 | | -6 4 | -3 3 | -3 3 | -3 3 | | -23 | 20 | -9 | 9 |
| | Water | Surface water pollution | | | | | | | | | -3 3 | -3 3 | -3 3 | | 0 | 0 | -9 | 9 |
| | Noise | Background noise level | -2 2 | -2 3 | -4 1 | | -6 6 | -4 4 | -2 4 | -4 2 | | | | -2 1 | -24 | 22 | -2 | 1 |
| GICAL | Flora | Trees and plants | -1 1 | | -2 1 | | -1 1 | | | | -2 2 | -2 2 | -2 2 | | -4 | 3 | -6 | 6 |
| BIOLOGICAL | Fauna | Dogs, Cats, Pigeons | -1 1 | -1 2 | -2 1 | | -2 3 | -1 1 | | -2 1 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | | -9 | 9 | 6 | 6 |
| | С | OHS Workers | -2 2 | -5 4 | -2 1 | -6 6 | -5 4 | -5 4 | -7 2 | -6 4 | -2 1 | -2 1 | -2 1 | -2 2 | -38 | 27 | -8 | 5 |
| | | Infrastructure and underground utilities | | | | | | | -1 1 | | | | | | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| | SOCIO- ECONOMIC | Traffic | -3 2 | | -2 1 | | | | | | | | | | -5 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| | Ē | Community Health , Safety & Security | -2 2 | -1 1 | -1 2 | | -2 2 | | -2 3 | -4 2 | -5 2 | -5 2 | -5 2 | -1 1 | -12 | 12 | -16 | 7 |
| | | Total | -17 15 | -13 13 | -25 19 | -6 6 | -29 31 | -19 22 | -17 15 | -27 18 | -20 21 | -20 21 | -20 21 | -6 5 | -153 | 139 | -66 | 68 |



Further, the **Buroz** Relevant Integrated Criteria and is used to determine the total importance, I, of the impact for each activity on all receptors and of the project overall.

On the basis of the value of the importance of impact, I, obtained, the severity of the impact of an activity is assessed.

| Criterium | Definition | Scoring Scale |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Intensity (IN) | Degree of destruction of activity on receptor | 1 (lowest)-12 (highest) |
| Extension (EX) | Theoretical area of influence of the impact | 1 (localized) – 8 (widespread) |
| Momentum (MO) | Period of time for manifestation of the impact | 4 (immediate: <1 year) – 2 (medium: 1-5 years)- 1 (long term: > 5 years) |
| Persistence (PE) | Duration of the effect of the impact | 1 (fleeting, < 1 year), 2 (temporary, 1-5 years), 4 (permanent, >5 years) |
| Reversibility (RV) | Possibility of returning to pre-activity initial conditions by rebuilding or natural means | 1 (short term, < 1 year)- 2 (medium term, 1-5 years) – 4 (long term, > 5 years or irreversible) |
| Recoverability (MC) | Possibility of reconstruction with corrective measures | 1 -2 (full and immediate recovery)- 4 (partial recovery and medium term)- 8 (unrecoverable) |
| Synergy (SI) | Reinforcement ability of manifested effects | 1(No synergy of actions on a receptor) -2 (moderate synergism)-4 (high synergy) |
| Accumulation (Ac) | Progressive increase of the effect | 1 (no cumulative effect)-4(cumulative effect) |
| Effect (EF) | Directionality of impact-the cause (action)- effect (impact) | 4 (direct)- 1 (indirect) |
| Frequency (PR) | Regularity of manifestation of the effect | 4 (continuous) – 2 (irregular)-1 (periodic) |
| Importance of Impact (I) | $I = \pm (3 \times IN + 2 \times EX + MO + PE + RV + SI + AC + EF$ | - + PR + MC) |

The table below is based on the Buroz's Relevant Integrated Criteria:



| | | | | | Constructi | on | | | | | Operation and | maintenance | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|------------------|---------------|---|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Activities | Trausport of equipment/ machinery- truck driving | Temporary storage/unloading of equipment and materials | Site preparation: Area delineation & Fencing | Working in outloor environment | Excavation | buildings construction | ustalition of mechanical equipment | placement wiring and electrical connections | Waste Generation | PRS operation | odorent mit (replacing or refulling tanks) | waste genration | Rontine inspection and maintenance |
| Type of impact | | | | | | | | | - | | | | 1. 11-890 |
| Intensity (IN)/12 | 2 | 12 | 12 | 9 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 8 |
| Extension (EX)/8 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| Momentum (MO)/4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Persistence (PE)/5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Reversibility (RV)/4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Sinergy (SI)/4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Acumulation (AC)/4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| Effect (EF)/4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Frequency (PR)/4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Recoverability (MC)/8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |
| Importance of impact (I) | 33 | 55 | 54 | 52 | 54 | 57 | 34 | 38 | 46 | 31 | 51 | 53 | 47 |
| Sub-Average (I) | | | | | 47.0 | | | | | | 45. | 5 | |
| Total-Average (I) | | | | | | | | 46.3 | | | | | |

| None/ irrelevant | 0 | 25 |
|------------------|----|-----|
| Minor Severity | 26 | 50 |
| Medium Severity | 51 | 75 |
| Major Severity | 76 | 300 |

